

UBC Social Ecological Economic Development Studies (SEEDS) Sustainability Program

Student Research Report

Best Practices for Community Engagement

Shareen Chin

University of British Columbia

PLAN 528A

Themes: Community, Wellbeing

April 30, 2019

Disclaimer: "UBC SEEDS Sustainability Program provides students with the opportunity to share the findings of their studies, as well as their opinions, conclusions and recommendations with the UBC community. The reader should bear in mind that this is a student research project/report and is not an official document of UBC. Furthermore, readers should bear in mind that these reports may not reflect the current status of activities at UBC. We urge you to contact the research persons mentioned in a report or the SEEDS Sustainability Program representative about the current status of the subject matter of a project/report".

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1.0 INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 CONTEXT	4
1.2 KEY DEFINITIONS	4
1.3 BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE	4
1.4 OVERALL SCOPE.....	4
1.5 PURPOSE AND RESEARCH QUESTION/HYPOTHESIS	5
1.6 RESEARCH TIMEFRAME	5
2.0 RESEARCH APPROACH.....	6
2.1 GUIDING PRINCIPLES.....	6
2.2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.3 LITERATURE REVIEW SUMMARY.....	8
3.0 ANALYSIS	10
3.1 EIO EVENTS ATTENDED FOR CONTEXT AND FOR FRAMEWORK PRINCIPLE APPLICATION.....	10
3.2 DRAFT PRINCIPLES VERSION ONE.....	10
3.2.1 PRINCIPLES FOR ENGAGEMENT (VERSION TWO).....	11
4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	17
5.0 CONCLUSION.....	18
6.0 REFERENCES	19
7.0 APPENDICES.....	20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Equity and Inclusion Office (EIO) has partnered with the University of British Columbia (UBC) SEEDS Sustainability Program on a community and wellbeing research project, *Best Practices in Community Engagement*. The SEEDS project relates to UBC's *Inclusion Action Plan* (IAP) which is due for review by June 2019. Through literature reviews from best or similar case scenarios, eight best practice principles for authentic consultation and engagement practices with a focus on historically, persistently, and systemically marginalized groups have been presented. The eight principles have been refined through consultation with the EIO staff and by attending EIO engagement events. The principles are also accompanied by considerations and measurements for application by UBC in future engagement activities.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Context

UBC has committed to the theme of inclusion in *The Next 100 Years* strategic plan¹. This commitment obliges UBC to ensure that there is a substantive input and engagement from marginalized groups towards the strategic plan. However, there must be a process to ensure the input and engagement is conducted in a respectful and authentic way.

EIO looks to ensure that the UBC community (Vancouver and Okanagan campuses, students, staff, and faculty)² are aware of and/or engaged in the development of a new IAP. A focus for inclusion will be on those who are historically, persistently or systemically marginalized. The first stage of consultation and engagement has been the development of five goal statements and general actions for broader consultation (Appendix 1). These five goal statements were developed by the Inclusion Working Group. As of April 2019, the EIO staff have conducted community engagement through campus wide online surveys and pop-ups at key Vancouver campus locations with students, staff and faculty about the implementation of inclusion action planning across university units.

1.2 Key Definitions

This project seeks to provide an engagement checklist for when UBC wishes to connect with communities that are historically, persistently or systemically marginalized. According to the *BC Human Rights Code*, there are specific personal characteristics that are protected by law. Therefore, those that have been historically, persistently or systemically marginalized may be experiencing discrimination based on age, ancestry, colour, criminal conviction, family status, gender expression, gender identity, mental disability, physical disability, place of origin, political believe, race, ancestry, colour, religion, sex, sexual orientation and source of income³.

However, this framework checklist may be applied to any UBC community group that may not feel included within the campus community.

1.3 Background and Significance

Best Practices in Community Engagement will support the incorporation and evaluation of best practices for consultation and engagement processes as IAP continues to develop. As a result, the information provided will enable the EIO office to ensure that the processes will adhere to the best practices in engagement and consultation with an inclusive perspective, while also exploring new and promising practices. This SEEDS project and EIO's IAP work will continue existing engagement activities and supply ideas and strategies for other organisations also wanting to build a more inclusive society in an increasingly globalizing world.

1.4 Overall Scope

The scope of this project is to develop, through best practice literature reviews, principles to guide the authentic consultation and engagement of members in the UBC community that have been historically, persistently or systemically marginalized. These

principles will take into consideration the unique situation of the UBC community and form a guiding framework for the EIO to hold themselves accountable to.

These principles will recognize the parallel nature of the IAP and the *Indigenous Strategic Plan (ISP)*. The IAP will acknowledge the uniqueness that indigeneity brings to the UBC community and will not supersede or override the ISP.

1.5 Purpose and Research Question/Hypothesis

What are tested and refined best practice principles for inclusive and authentic engagement and consultation processes that specifically consider inclusion of historically, persistently and systemically marginalized groups?

This research project aims to support the EIO in developing an IAP that enhances inclusion on the UBC community and prepares community members to create a more inclusive society.

1.6 Research Timeframe

The SEEDS project timeframe was from January to April 2019. The compressed timeline was due to planned EIO events for February and March 2019, as well as a June 2019 deadline where the EIO office must prepare a draft IAP. The draft IAP will be presented to the UBC Board of Governors meeting in September 2019.

2.0 RESEARCH APPROACH

2.1 Guiding Principles

Conducting research for this project was guided by the following two principle frameworks:

UBC Statement on Respectful Environment for Students, Faculty and Staff

Universities Canada Inclusive Excellence Seven Principles

This SEEDS research project and recommendations was established under these two guiding principle frameworks to ensure alignment to existing overarching policies. These principles were selected specifically due to their application to a Canadian university setting.

Revised in May 2014, the *UBC Statement on Respectful Environment for Students, Faculty and Staff* envisioned a campus climate that was dedicated to excellence, equality and mutual respect in order to create the best possible condition for learning, researching and working⁴. UBC strived to achieve this vision by establishing employment and educational practices that respected the dignity of individuals and is an environment free from bullying and harassment⁵.

Published in October 2017, *Universities Canada Inclusive Excellence Seven Principles* is the public commitment by member universities to being active champions of equity, diversity and inclusion on university campuses, in communities and across the country⁶. Moreover, Universities Canada's principles acknowledged the differences between university communities across Canada and the autonomy of individual institutions to advance change appropriate to local context and needs⁷. This acknowledgement of local context and needs allows UBC to apply EDI work accordingly.

Both principle policy framework documents are provided in the Appendix 2 and 3.

2.2 Literature Review

Literature review was conducted in four parts where each part built upon the context of the previous step.

High level review of contextual documents authored by UBC

Part 1:

A review of ten UBC publications, including strategic plans, collaboration principles, wellbeing frameworks and EDI documents to set the contextual framework for EDI work at UBC. A list of reviewed context documents is in Appendix 4.

Review of comparable EDI practices at peer universities.

Part 2:

A review of 12 peer universities to identify any best practices that could be applied to UBC. A list of peer universities reviewed is in Appendix 5.

Version One of Draft Principles

Seven draft principles presented to EIO office during a March 14, 2019 workshop. Results of workshop feedback is in Appendix 6.

Review of municipalities and planning agencies

Part 3:

A review of ten community engagement documents from municipalities or planning agencies. A list of municipalities or planning agencies reviewed is in Appendix 7.

Review of health authorities and agencies

Part 4:

A review of five publications from Canadian or international health authorities, not for profits or planning firms specializing in public health. A list of health authorities or agencies reviewed is in Appendix 8.

Version Two of Draft Principles

Eight principles, with considerations and measurements, for engaging historically, persistently or systemically marginalized groups developed.

2.3 Literature Review Summary

Part One: High level review of contextual documents authored by UBC

It was important to recognize that EDI work has been ongoing at UBC in more than just the EIO office. The contextual documents provided the historical background and future direction in which the SEEDS research would be conducted in.

Part Two: Review of comparable of EDI practices at universities

With an understanding of UBC's context, 12 peer universities were reviewed for their EDI policies and programs. When possible, communicating with peer university representatives was conducted. For example, email communication with Mikhail V. Burke, Dean's Advisor on Black Inclusivity Initiatives and Student Inclusion & Transition Mentor at the Faculty of Applied Science & Engineering at the University of Toronto was a part of this research.

The information gathered from other universities served to confirm that UBC is on a par with efforts to become a more equitable, inclusive and diverse campus. However, the peer university documents typically provided high-level vision statements rather than the smaller scale best practice principles requested as an outcome of this SEEDS project. This mismatch in scale required a more focused approach that narrowed in on tangible community engagement activities rather than overarching vision statements.

Part Three: Review of municipalities and planning agencies

To narrow the focus towards best practice principles, community engagement documents from planning firms or municipalities were reviewed. These engagement documents provided a structured framework for facilitators seeking to engage individuals on planning issues. However, these documents were still too broad in scope because municipalities aim to include an entire community. Therefore, while useful in providing a framework approach that facilitators can follow, this planning literature did not specifically target the historically, persistently, or systemically marginalized groups.

Part Four: Review of health authority publications on how to support the underserved

A refined research approach was then undertaken to specifically address the need to focus in on marginalized groups. The results showed that health authorities had an abundance of research and publications available about health care service delivery in underserved populations. Health authorities, like the First Nations Health Authority's commitment to cultural safety⁸, found trust from a community with a large organisation to be the foremost principle. The Fraser Health Authority has published handbooks to guide meaningful engagement of patients that have not been traditionally heard in healthcare planning⁹. Other agencies, such as the Capital Health in Nova Scotia, used the IAP2 core values to ensure their engagement processes were inclusive to the communities they served¹⁰.

By adapting and combining the best practices from the health authority literature, the framework of community engagement from municipalities and the context of UBC, eight

best practice principles have been proposed. These eight principles are available to use when UBC wishes to engage authentically with the historically, persistently, or systemically marginalized groups at UBC.

3.0 ANALYSIS

Analysing best practices principles against events was done in conjunction to the Part Three and Part Four of the literature review.

3.1 EIO events attended for context and for framework principle application

Event Name	Date	Version of Principles
Ideas to Action: Building an Inclusive UBC with Dr. Sara-Jane Finlay, Associate Vice-President	Feb. 13, 2019	In process; event attended for context
Hot Lunch at St. John's College with Ms. Barbara Meens Thistle, VP of Human Resources.	Feb. 27, 2019	In process; event attended for context
EIO Workshop in-camera meeting with 14 staff to discuss Version One of draft principles	March 14, 2019	Version One
Irving K. Barber Learning Centre Pop Up Event	March 22, 2019	Version Two
UBC Centre for Teaching, Learning and Technology meeting with EIO staff on IAP goals	March 27, 2019	Version Two

While the intent was to attend more events, due to inclement weather, or conflict with UBC academic schedules, some events were cancelled. Other outreach events, such as departmental crew talks, did not have the timeline or audience that would fit the SEEDS research project.

3.2 Draft Principles Version One

The literature review on university EDI practices produced seven potential best practices.

1. Listen and affirm. Reflect and iterate. Unlearn and learn. Take responsibility.
2. Commit to and align engagement process with EDI as a high-level university/institutional priority.
3. Reach out to people/communities on how and whether they would like to be engaged.
4. Within the constraints that exist within the university, the explicit recognition of inequity is followed up with a robust commitment to search for opportunities to change.
5. Consider the extent to which the engagement process elevates the voices of and makes decisions on behalf of, historically, persistently, and systemically marginalized groups.
6. Within the constraints of this process, be transparent in intent, process, reflection and outcome for the engagement.

7. Allow marginalized people to 'see themselves' throughout the process, reflection and outcome.

These principles were workshopped with the EIO office on March 14, 2019. 14 EIO staff attended and provided feedback on the principles and provided their contextual feedback on how to measure these principles (Appendix 6). However, at this point in the research project, it became apparent that Version One was too broad or high level and additional literature reviewing was required.

3.2.1 Principles for Engagement (Version Two)

From the health authority literature, draft principles were provided to the EIO office to remotely review. The results of the EIO feedback produced the following eight principles.

1. Foster trust
2. Respect schedules and cultural norms
3. Plan for accessibility
4. Communicate for understanding
5. Provide a useful contribution for participation
6. Nourish community development
7. Consider power dynamics
8. Report back to the community in a meaningful manner

These eight principles have been provided with a short description, considerations for implementation and measurements in a framework checklist.

Principles for Engagement Framework Checklist

Principles for Engagement Framework Checklist				
Cycle	Engagement Principles	Description	Considerations	Measurements
Throughout entire process - Foster Trust	Before	<p>Foster Trust</p> <p>Authentic and meaningful engagement with historically, persistently or systemically marginalized communities at UBC must be done on a foundation of trust.</p> <p>Fostering trust should also be a principle that is upheld throughout the entire engagement process.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UBC must work to consider its decisions in the context of creating trust with communities. The communities must trust UBC. - To what extent has UBC acknowledged any history in its attempts to build relationships with this community - and proved its understanding of the harm that it may have caused. - Does UBC have the time to build reciprocal relationships in time for the engagement activity? - Is the engagement activity short-term, or long-term? - To what extent can UBC commit to improving or repairing the relationship? - Does UBC know who, among UBC's community, is considered an ally of the community at this time? - Can UBC build relationships among community leaders and allies of the community? - Are the UBC staff engaging with communities empathetic and well trained with proper techniques? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is UBC partnered with community allies? If yes, how many and what is the quality of the partnership? ✓ Is there public endorsement for engagement from within UBC (i.e. the President or a Dean), and a member of the community that is being engaged with?
		<p>Respect schedules and cultural norms</p> <p>Engagement process should strive to respect community schedule and cultural norms.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To what extent can UBC test if the community wants to participate with the engagement activity? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is the engagement process respectful of cultural norms and timelines? ✓ Is the engagement topic important to the

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If so, does the engagement process consider how the community wants to engage with UBC? - Is the engagement process appropriate and specific to the community being engaged with? - If the community does not want to engage with UBC, does UBC know the reason? 	<p>community?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is the time commitment required by the community communicated and agreed upon? ✓ Is the engagement process tailored to this community?
Before/During	Plan for Accessibility	Engagement activities should be universally accessible.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To what extent have different kinds of engagements/events been set up to ensure that engagement is inclusive of the widest possible audience with different accessibility needs even if each event is not accessible or applicable to everyone? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Has the engagement calendar events list been planned according to the <i>Checklist for Accessibility and Inclusive Event Planning at UBC</i>? ✓ If certain checklist items were not met, are proper substitutions made?
	Communicate for Understanding	Engagement should be easily understood.			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is the information written in plain language? ✓ Has there been enough translation of language, concepts or phrases done to ensure mutual understanding between UBC and the community? ✓ Is the engagement process understood by the community? ✓ Can images be used to communicate concepts? ✓ Are the images used culturally neutral and authentic? ✓ If cultural images are used for multilingual engagement, are they used in a correct and respectable manner?
During	Provide a useful contribution for participation	Engagement processes should offer something useful to the community or individual(s) participating.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What should UBC consider as a meaningful contribution for individuals' or communities' participation? - Does the contribution take the form of food, gift certificates, stipend, transportation vouchers, or another 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was the community asked what they would appreciate as a meaningful contribution for their participation? ✓ Is meaningful contribution provided to the community or individual in exchange for their participation?

			<p>creative solution?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is the engagement budget sufficiently resourced to fund the contribution? 	
Nourish Community Development	Engagement should develop and strengthen community connections within the community group, and across communities that may be facing similar barriers.			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the engagement activity bridge connections and relationships to supply networking opportunities? ✓ Are there community leaders in the community that can become peer leaders? ✓ Can these peer community leaders meaningfully connect their community in the engagement process? ✓ Are partnerships authentically present with other organisations? ✓ Does the engagement activity allow for sharing of resources, knowledge and other forms of expertise between participants?
Consider power dynamics	Engagement processes should acknowledge the power dynamics of parties involved in the decision-making process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What has been done to ensure the space is safe for people to express themselves? - How does the engagement process recognize the power imbalance between different parties, and is there the opportunity to change this situation to a noticeable degree? - How can UBC's engagement process inform a sense of respect and legitimacy to the personal stories shared by participants? - What does UBC need to consider to ensure respect, confidentiality and anonymity of participants is supported? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is the engagement process safe and comfortable? ✓ Has the purpose of the engagement process (i.e., consultation, input, decision-making, etc.), been clarified at the invitation stage and again at the beginning of the activity? ✓ Have the power dynamics between facilitator and participants been addressed? (i.e. Who is the expert in the engagement process?) ✓ Does the engagement activity look to normalize peoples' lived experience by surrounding them with others with similar experiences or backgrounds? ✓ Is there space for story-telling from the participants? ✓ How can the story-telling be respectfully incorporated into the engagement results? ✓ Does the engagement activity specifically include resources for the community (e.g. tangible material or otherwise)? 	

After					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Has UBC considered a reasonable ratio of staff to engagement participants?
	Report back to the community in a meaningful manner	Share the outcomes of the engagement process and identify how participant input was used.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What does UBC need to consider when publicly sharing about the engagement processes and outcomes? - What does UBC need to consider if the information shared may not be what the individuals or communities are wishing to hear? - With publicly sharing, what does UBC need to consider when ensuring that the original intent from the participant is kept and authentic in the message? - How does what UBC produces reflect the stories of participants? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are participant responses, anonymized where necessary, posted and publicly available? ✓ Has the process of incorporating the participant input been clearly recorded and reported? ✓ Was the engagement process evaluated using participant feedback? ✓ Was the feedback integrated into Community-UBC relationships, communication and future planning projects? 	

References for Framework

BC Centre for Disease Control. (n.d.). *FACT Sheet: Supporting Health Equity through the Built Environment*. Retrieved from BC Centre for Disease Control: http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/EH/BCCDC_equity-fact-sheet_web.pdf

Capital Health. (2011, March 16). *Engagement Framework and Toolkit*. Retrieved from North Simcoe Muskoka LHIN: <http://www.nsmlhin.on.ca/communityengagement/~media/4F9309E031C44680A3617A293BC0D4B3.ashx>

Canadian Partnership Against Cancer. (2018, August 20). *Learning Together: How to Better Engage Marginalized Groups in Healthcare Systems*. Retrieved from How to better engage marginalized groups in healthcare systems: <https://www.partnershipagainstcancer.ca/news-events/news/article/how-to-better-engage-marginalized-groups-healthcare-systems/>

Canadian Partnership Against Cancer. (n.d.). *Slide Deck for Deliberative Engagement*. Retrieved from https://www.partnershipagainstcancer.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/SlideDeck_Deliberative-Engagement_NHEN-Presentation_August2018.pdf

Jacklin Stonewall, K. F. (2017). *Best Practices for Engaging Underserved Populations*. Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 2017 Annual Meeting 130.

M. Elizabeth Snow, K. T. (2018). Heard and valued: the development of a model to meaningfully engage marginalized populations in health services planning. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18 - 181.

Novick, P. C. (2003). *Building Inclusive Communities: Cross-Canada Perspectives and Strategies*. Ottawa: Federation of Canadian Municipalities.

- Nursing Council of New Zealand. (2011). *Guidelines for Cultural Safety, the Treaty of Waitangi and Maori Health in Nursing Education and Practice*. Retrieved from Nursing Council:
<http://www.nursingcouncil.org.nz/index.php/content/download/721/2871/file/Guidelines%20for%20cultural%20safety,%20the%20Treaty%20of%20Waitangi,%20and%20Maori%20health%20in%20nursing%20education%20and%20practice.pdf>
- Propel Centre for Population Health Impact. (2019). *Best Practices in Reaching Underserved Groups for Deliberative Engagement and Public Dialogues*. Waterloo, Ontario: Propel Centre for Population Health Impact, University of Waterloo.
- Snow, B. (2013, September). *Patient Engagement: Heard and Valued*. Retrieved from Fraser Health: https://www.cfhi-fcass.ca/sf-docs/default-source/patient-engagement/awesome_handbook-fraserhealth.pdf
- Social Planning Council of Sudbury. (n.d.). *Engaging Marginalized Families*. Retrieved from SPC of Sudbury: <http://spsudbury.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Engaging-Marginalized-Families2011SPC.pdf>
- Social Planning Network of Ontario. (2010). *Engaging Marginalized Communities for Better Health Outcomes*. Peterborough: Ministry of Health Promotion.
- Stephanie R. Montesanti, J. A. (2017). Enabling the participation of marginalized populations: case studies from a health service organization in Ontario, Canada. *Health Promotion International*, 636-649.

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The compressed timeline of this project did not allow for Version Two to be fully tested against EIO events. Therefore, it is recommended that these principles be introduced to EIO staff, and then applied to EIO events moving forward. Future revisions of principles may still be required. Furthermore, research has shown that there is no 'one-size-fits-all' approach for community engagement. Therefore, research is needed into the best practices on how different groups understand consultation and engagement, what the implications are for this engagement process, and more concretely, how approaches to different groups need to be tailored to create appropriate and welcoming spaces for truly authentic engagement.

4.1 Feedback from April 6, 2019 Presentation Workshop

Principle of Trust

- Must be ongoing
- May be difficult to implement
- How to differentiate between distrust of UBC and relationship to EIO?
- What are the implications?
- Example: Filling out the EES
- Authentically different in the work that is to do with inclusion
- Foster trust in the process of engagement

Principle of Reporting back

- May be difficult because some of the feedback is negative and hateful

Future uses

- Resource on website
- Include in toolkit to units
- Conduct a case studies on each principle.
 - I.e. Science can take on planning on accessibility and report back on their unit experience

Share with Operations and SDI stakeholders

Scale up efforts for a two way dialog in student and committees to see if the principles hold true

5.0 CONCLUSION

Eight principles have been presented to the EIO for their use towards authentic engagement with specific consideration towards the inclusion of historically, persistently or systemically marginalized groups. These have been developed through literature reviews, revising principles from EIO staff feedback and attending EIO events. Due to the compressed timeline of this SEEDS project, more testing of EIO events against the eight principles will be needed. Revisions, based on staff and participant feedback, will likely be required. Additionally, continuing to pull on agencies, such as health authorities, that are working to bridge gaps between mainstream services and marginalized communities will need to continue.

6.0 REFERENCES

1. BC Human Rights Tribunal. (2019, March 27). *BCHRT*. Retrieved from Personal Characteristics Protected in the BC Human Rights Code: <http://www.bchrt.bc.ca/human-rights-duties/characteristics.htm>
2. Meeting, S. E. (2019, January 18). SEEDS EIO Meeting. Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
3. The University of British Columbia. (2018). *Shaping UBC's Next Century*. Retrieved from Strategic Plan: <https://strategicplan.ubc.ca/>
4. The University of British Columbia. (2018). *Shaping UBC's Next Century*. Retrieved from Strategic Plan: <https://strategicplan.ubc.ca/>
5. Ibid
6. Universities Canada. (2017, October). *Universities Canada Inclusive Excellence Principles*. Retrieved from Universities Canada: <https://www.univcan.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/equity-diversity-inclusion-principles-universities-canada-oct-2017.pdf>
7. Ibid
8. First Nations Health Authority. (2016, June 17). *FNHA Policy Statement Cultural Safety and Humility*. Retrieved from First Nations Health Authority: <http://www.fnha.ca/documents/fnha-policy-statement-cultural-safety-and-humility.pdf>
9. Snow, B. (2013, September). *Patient Engagement: Heard and Valued*. Retrieved from Fraser Health: https://www.cfhi-fcass.ca/sf-docs/default-source/patient-engagement/awesome_handbook-fraserhealth.pdf
10. Capital Health. (2011, March 16). *Engagement Framework and Toolkit*. Retrieved from North Simcoe Muskoka LHIN: http://www.nsmhlin.on.ca/communityengagement/~/_media/4F9309E031C44680A3617A293BCOD4B3.ashx

7.0 APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Inclusive Action Plan Goals

Goal 1: Capacity-building: UBC will enhance institutional and individual capacities and skills to succeed in and advance inclusive environments.

Building on previous work at UBC, potential areas of action include:

- Education and training on equity, diversity, and inclusion for leadership, faculty, staff, and students
- Networks and support systems for marginalized groups
- Funding opportunities for community-led initiatives
- Dialogue around difficult topics

Goal 2: Recruitment, Retention, and Success: UBC will actively recruit, support, retain, and advance students, faculty, staff, and leaders from systemically marginalized groups.

Building on previous work at UBC, potential areas of action include:

- Better data collection and reporting on students, staff and faculty demographics and progress
- Support for the Indigenous strategic plan
- Creation of a workplace accommodation policy
- Increased representation of diverse students, staff, and faculty
- Building and developing skills to support diversity and inclusion at UBC and beyond

Goal 3: Systems Change: UBC will be intentional and proactive in changing systems, structures, policies, practices, and processes to advance equity, diversity, and inclusion.

Building on previous work at UBC, potential areas of action include:

- Review of employment practices and processes to eliminate barriers for historically, persistently, and systemically marginalized groups
- Ceremonies and events include commitments to equity, diversity and inclusion
- Consistency of equity, diversity, and inclusion work between and across campuses
- Use of Universal Design to create welcoming and accessible physical and virtual spaces

Goal 4: Learning, Research, and Engagement: UBC will foster environments of learning, research, and engagement that value building and exchanging multiple and

intersectional ways of knowing.

Building on previous work at UBC, potential areas of action include:

- Build intentional relationships with communities representing historically, persistently, and systemically marginalized groups
- Create more student-led equity, diversity, and inclusion initiatives
- Develop mentoring programs
- Create awards and incentives for equity, diversity, and inclusion
- Equity, diversity and inclusion are supported and rewarded in scholarship, teaching, curriculum, course content and through our outreach principles.

Goal 5: Accountability: UBC will hold itself accountable to its commitment to inclusion through clear and timely processes, thorough evaluation, and transparent reporting to the UBC communities on its progress on this action plan.

Building on previous work at UBC, potential areas of action include:

- Evaluation and regular reporting on this Inclusion Action Plan
- Inclusion Action Plans created for all Divisions and Faculties
- Departmental and curricular external review processes include equity, diversity, and inclusion criteria
- Improve data collection and transparency of reporting

Appendix 2: UBC Statement on Respectful Environment for Students, Faculty and Staff

UBC Statement on Respectful Environment for Students, Faculty and Staff

Approved by: UBC Executive

Revision Date: May 2014

The University of British Columbia envisions a climate in which students, faculty and staff are provided with the best possible conditions for learning, researching and working, including an environment that is dedicated to excellence, equity and mutual respect. The University of British Columbia strives to realize this vision by establishing employment and educational practices that respect the dignity of individuals and make it possible for everyone to live, work, and study in a positive and supportive environment, free from harmful behaviours such as bullying and harassment.

A. Statement of Principle

The best possible environment for working, learning and living is one in which respect, civility, diversity, opportunity and inclusion are valued. Everyone at the University of British Columbia is expected to conduct themselves in a manner that upholds these principles in all communications and interactions with fellow UBC community members and the public in all University-related settings.

B. The Respectful University Environment

In the context of an academic community, responsibility for maintaining a respectful environment falls on all community members, including students, faculty, staff, and members of the public who participate in University-related activities.

Excellence in learning, research and work in the university community is fostered by promoting the freest possible exchange of information, ideas, beliefs and opinions in diverse forms, and it necessarily includes dissemination and discussion of controversial topics and unpopular points of view. Respect for the value of freedom of expression and promotion of free inquiry are central to the University's mission.

However, these freedoms cannot exist without an equally vigorous commitment to recognition of and respect for the freedoms of others, and concern for the well-being of every member of the university community. Excellence in scholarship, teaching and employment activities flows from active concern and respect for others, including their ability to participate meaningfully in the exchange of information, ideas, beliefs and opinions.

Therefore, freedom of expression and freedom of inquiry must be exercised responsibly, in ways that recognize and respect the dignity of others, having careful regard to the dynamics of different

relationships within the university environment, such as between professor and student, or supervisor and employee. A respectful environment is a climate in which the human dignity of each individual is valued, and the diverse perspectives, ideas and experiences of all members of the community are able to flourish.

C. Activities Harmful to a Respectful Environment

Activities harmful to a respectful environment include behaviours ranging from expressions of disrespect such as rudeness and gossip, to bullying or harassment. Disrespectful behaviour, including bullying or harassment, is harmful to a respectful environment and therefore has no place at UBC. It is not only a direct attack on the dignity and worth of the individual or group at whom it is directed, it undermines the freedoms of the whole community. For these reasons, disrespectful behaviour, including bullying or harassment, is not acceptable and will not be tolerated at UBC.

Bullying or harassment is objectionable and unwanted behaviour that is verbally or physically abusive, vexatious or hostile, that is without reasonable justification, and that creates a hostile or intimidating environment for working, learning or living. Harassment may be intentional or unintentional. While bullying or harassment usually consists of repeated acts, a single serious incident that has a lasting harmful effect may also constitute bullying or harassment.

Bullying or harassing behaviour includes cumulative demeaning or intimidating comments, gestures or conduct; verbal aggression or yelling; threats to a person's employment or educational status, person or property; persistent comments or conduct, including ostracism or exclusion of a person, that undermines an individual's self-esteem so as to compromise their ability to achieve work or study goals; abuse of power, authority or position; sabotage of a person's work; humiliating initiation practices; hazing; calling someone derogatory names; spreading of malicious rumours or lies; or making malicious or vexatious complaints about a person.

Bullying or harassment does not include the exercise of appropriate managerial or supervisory direction, including performance management and the imposition of discipline; constructive criticism; respectful expression of differences of opinions; reasonable changes to assignments or duties; correction of inappropriate student behaviour; instructional techniques such as irony, conjecture, and refutation, or assigning readings or other instructional materials that advocate controversial positions; and single incidents of thoughtless, petty or foolish words or acts that cause fleeting harm.

A determination that disrespectful behaviour, including bullying or harassment, has occurred is based not only on what the alleged perpetrator and target of the disrespectful behaviour actually experienced, knew, or understood about each other and the situation, but on what a reasonable person in each of their

circumstances would have experienced, known or understood, taking into account the full context of the situation.

D. Addressing Respectful Environment Concerns

Primary responsibility for addressing respectful environment concerns, including concerns about bullying or harassment lies with all members of the UBC community who exercise supervisory or leadership roles. These individuals are well-placed to set examples for others by their own conduct, to communicate to those under their direction UBC's commitment to a respectful university environment, and to take appropriate action to preserve or restore a respectful environment if problems arise. They are expected to take steps to maintain an environment free from disrespectful behaviour, and to prevent such behaviour, where possible.

REPORTING OF CONCERNS / INCIDENTS / COMPLAINTS

Specifically, when faculty, staff or student employees have concerns about disrespectful behaviour they have experienced or witnessed, they should contact their direct supervisor or Administrative Head of Unit.

Students who have concerns outside of any employment relationship with UBC should contact their professor, Department Head or Dean's Office, if their concern relates to a course or academic matter. If the concern relates to a UBC service unit or a residence, students should contact the Unit Head of the particular service or the Vice-President, Students Office at UBC Vancouver, or the Unit Head or the Associate Vice-President, Students at UBC Okanagan.

Where the issue is not resolved or there is concern about conflict of interest, including where a faculty or staff member feels bullied or harassed by their direct supervisor, faculty and staff should contact their employee association, union, or Human Resources. In such circumstances, students should contact the UBC Ombudsperson or the Associate Vice-President, Students.

INVESTIGATION OF CONCERNS/INCIDENTS/COMPLAINTS

Administrative Heads of Units, whether responding to a concern raised to them or taking action because they have otherwise become aware that a person may be engaging in disrespectful behaviour in their unit, are expected to act promptly to determine if behaviour contrary to the Respectful Environment Statement including incidents of bullying or harassment has occurred or is ongoing, and if so, to address the behaviour and its damaging effects. Support and advice are available from Human Resources.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR AWARENESS AND EDUCATION

It is the responsibility of each Vice President, in cooperation with Human Resources, to ensure that those in supervisory or leadership roles in their portfolio have access to the proper training necessary to understand rights and responsibilities in a respectful working, learning and living environment, including their own responsibility to recognize, investigate, respond to, and manage complaints of harassment and to educate those they supervise or lead regarding those rights and responsibilities.

Education, increased awareness and prompt action are vital to create and maintain respectful working, learning and living environments at UBC. The University must provide training, support and resources to raise awareness about the principles of a respectful working, learning and living environment and to address concerns in a positive and effective manner when they do occur.

The following link provides more detailed procedures for faculty, staff or student employees and for those who have the primary responsibility of addressing respectful environment concerns:

<http://bullyingandharassment.sites.olt.ubc.ca/>

E. Related Policies and Provisions

Where policies and mechanisms for addressing unacceptable conduct already exist, whether in work, education or living contexts, this *Respectful Environment Statement* is intended to supplement, not to displace them.

In particular, matters relating to discrimination or harassment based on age, ancestry, colour, family status, marital status, physical or mental disability, place of origin, political belief, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, and criminal conviction unrelated to employment are addressed in Policy #3, the UBC *Policy on Discrimination and Harassment*. Those with concerns that may constitute complaints under Policy #3 should refer to Policy #3 itself for information on how to proceed. Where a concern raised under the *Respectful Environment Statement* is appropriately addressed under another policy or provision, Administrative Heads of Units and others responsible for addressing respectful environment concerns will direct the individual to the appropriate office.

Departmental policies regarding respectful environments can add to but not derogate from the principles in this statement.

Appendix 3: Universities Canada Inclusive Excellence Principles



October 2017

Universities Canada Inclusive Excellence Principles

Canadians value an inclusive country, where equity is deeply embedded and diversity welcomed. Our identity is expressed in the shared values of openness, fairness and tolerance.

Members of Universities Canada reflect those values in their approaches to teaching, research and community engagement. Universities recognize the vital importance of a diversity of identity and thought, with room for a variety of ideas, geographies, cultures and views. While progress has been made over the past few decades, we recognize that there is more we can – and must – do to truly achieve inclusive excellence.

To complement Universities Canada's Principles on Indigenous Higher Education and building on international movements such as the United Nation's *HeForShe* campaign, members of Universities Canada commit to attracting and retaining students, faculty, staff and leaders from all backgrounds. To serve their missions, our university leaders commit to being active champions of equity, diversity and inclusion on our campuses, in our communities and across the country.

To this end, the members of Universities Canada make an explicit public commitment to seven principles. These principles acknowledge the differences between university communities across Canada and the autonomy of individual institutions to advance change appropriate to local context and needs.

Universities Canada is the voice of Canada's universities at home and abroad, advancing higher education, research and innovation for the benefit of all Canadians.

1. We believe our universities are enriched by diversity and inclusion. As leaders of universities that aspire to be diverse, fair and open, we will make our personal commitment to diversity and inclusion evident.
2. We commit our institutions to developing and/or maintaining an equity, diversity and inclusion action plan in consultation with students, faculty, staff and administrators, and particularly with individuals from under-represented groups¹. We commit to demonstrating progress over time.
3. We commit to taking action to provide equity of access and opportunity. To do so, we will identify and address barriers to, and provide supports for, the recruitment and retention of senior university leaders, university Board and Senate members, faculty, staff and students, particularly from under-represented groups.
4. We will work with our faculty and staff, search firms, and our governing boards to ensure that candidates from all backgrounds are provided support in their career progress and success in senior leadership positions at our institutions.
5. We will seek ways to integrate inclusive excellence throughout our university's teaching, research, community engagement and governance. In doing so, we will engage with students, faculty, staff, our boards of governors, senates and alumni to raise awareness and encourage all efforts.
6. We will be guided in our efforts by evidence, including evidence of what works in addressing any barriers and obstacles that may discourage members of under-represented groups to advance. We commit to sharing evidence of practices that are working, in Canada and abroad, with all higher education institutions.
7. Through our national membership organization, Universities Canada, we will work to generate greater awareness of the importance of diversity and inclusive excellence throughout Canadian higher education.

¹ Under-represented groups include those identified in the federal *Employment Equity Act* – women, visible minorities, Aboriginal peoples, and persons with disabilities – as well as, but not limited to, LGBTQ2+ people and men in female-dominated disciplines.

Appendix 4: UBC Context Documents

1. *Valuing Difference: A Strategy for Advancing Equity & Diversity at UBC* (2009)
2. *Conversations in Wellbeing: Vehicles for Campus Engagement* (2015)
3. *Conversations in Wellbeing: A UBC-wide community engagement effort* (2015)
4. Principles for UBC-Musqueam Collaboration
5. *UBC Inclusion and Connection Mapping Analysis: Towards Developing a UBC Wellbeing Action Framework for Inclusion and Connection* (2017)
6. Engagement Principles and Guiding Practices
7. External example: Consultation Toolkit and Equality Impact Assessment
8. Draft – Inclusion Action Plan
9. Draft – *Indigenous Strategic Plan* (v5, 19 July 2018)
10. *Place and Promise – UBC Strategic Plan* (2012)

Appendix 5: List of comparable universities

1. Berkley University
<https://history.berkeley.edu/resources/equity-diversity-inclusion>
2. Dartmouth University
<https://inclusive.dartmouth.edu/>
3. First Nations University of Canada
<https://www.idees-ideas.ca/blog/equity-matters-ideas-can-build-more-equitable-canada>
4. Massey University -
<https://www.massey.ac.nz/massey/fms/PolicyGuide/Documents/Academic/Equity%20of%20Access%20to%20Educational%20Opportunities%20Policy.pdf?C44160C43EBB176C24F947A2A19EDE41>
5. McGill University
https://www.mcgill.ca/equity_diversity/about-us
6. Ryerson University
<https://www.ryerson.ca/equity/>
7. Quest University
https://questu.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/student_accommodation_policy-1.pdf
8. University of Alberta
<https://www.ualberta.ca/faculty-and-staff/equity-diversity-inclusion>
9. University of Arizona
<https://diversity.arizona.edu/best-practices-creating-diverse-and-inclusive-university>
10. Portland State University
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1172211.pdf>
11. University of Otago
<https://www.otago.ac.nz/administration/policies/otago666398.html>
12. University of Toronto
www.research.utoronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/EDRI-WG-Report-Recommendations-2018.pdf

Appendix 6: March 14, 2019 EIO Workshop sheet and feedback

Best Practices in Community Engagement Worksheet

Purpose: This worksheet hopes to guide a generative engagement process regarding the draft principles and measurements.

Outcome: Obtain EIO feedback that will inform the final report.

<p>Draft Principle 1:</p> <p>Listen and affirm. Reflect and iterate.</p> <p>Unlearn and learn. Take responsibility.</p>	<p>Researcher's comment:</p> <p>This is the overarching umbrella principle for all the following principles.</p>
<p>Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This takes time and will be hard to do with fixed deadlines we have in place. There is not rally room to reimagine or relearn 	
<p>Part 2: Measurement brainstorm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To what extent did feedback along the way alter the engagement process? - Annual engagement processes - Thematic forums - Annual mapping - Satisfaction and quality surveys 	
<p>Draft Principle 2:</p> <p>Commit to and align engagement process with EDI as a high-level university/institutional priority.</p>	<p>Researcher's comment:</p> <p>Reinforces continued existing EDI work that is existing.</p>
<p>Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts</p>	
<p>Part 2: Measurement brainstorm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adapting of IAP Actions into unit plan - To what extent do EDI priorities show up to support the engagement process and provide some assistance that the engagement will be valid and valuable? - High level of acceptance of making it a priority. Top to bottom commitment to reinforcing EDI work on campus - EDI is explicitly included in institutional plans. - # participants - Website data - Annual reports - Satisfaction surveys - Stakeholder information - Benchmarking/progress indicators 	
<p>Draft Principle 3:</p> <p>Reach out to people/communities on how and whether they would like to be</p>	<p>Researcher's comment:</p> <p>Note the importance of 'whether they would like.'</p> <p>The ability to decline is also a sign of respect. Any</p>

<p>engaged.</p>	<p>thoughts?</p> <p><i>Question: How would the EDI office know a group was approached, and then declined, rather than not approached at all?</i></p>
<p>Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I do not trust you to engage me 	
<p>Part 2: Measurement brainstorm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Demonstrated active participation and collaboration/planning with communities in consultation and engagement activities - How many invitations to difficult consultants group within communities? What "gatekeepers" are accessed? - Are marginalized communities involved in leading and designing the consultation process? - High level of trust, reason given for saying no (feeling satisfied vs. low trust) the informed no 	
<p>Draft Principle 4:</p> <p>Within the constraints that exist within the university, the explicit recognition of inequity is followed up with a robust commitment to search for opportunities to change</p>	<p>Researcher's comment:</p> <p>Principle attempts to recognize that large institutions do not change overnight, and we are not trying to over-promise. This principle acknowledges that there are constraints. Within these constraints, there is recognition of inequalities and ALSO action to follow up on these inequalities. The goal is to change the status quo.</p>
<p>Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts</p>	
<p>Part 2: Measurement brainstorm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Demonstrated connection in action formation/generation to commitments to change. I.e. Action and examples of inequity trying to be connected and addressed - How often is the approval process referenced and public, so people are aware (even if not sure they understand)? - Review actions taken by previous engagement with the same communities 	
<p>Draft Principle 5:</p> <p>Consider the extent to which the engagement process elevates the voices of, and makes decisions on behalf of, historically, persistently, and systematically marginalized populations.</p>	<p>Researcher's comment:</p> <p>Rather than caution in making decisions for communities - which likely will have to happen at the senior level - consideration of the extent to which engagement can elevate priorities of, or make decisions for, communities must be done.</p> <p>Recognition that there are multiple voices in a</p>

	community, and which voices are heard needs to be considered. The process, ultimately, should be about how to balance the different voices and different priorities within a community.
Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts	
Part 2: Measurement brainstorm: - Marginalized communities feel high level of satisfaction/engagement?	
Draft Principle 6: Within the constraints of this process, be transparent in intent, process, reflection and outcome for the engagement.	Researcher's comment: Like Point 4, a university will have constraints regarding transparency, but should still strive for a transparent and iterative process.
Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts - We also need to be transparent about the tensions with our engagement process and that the very nature of how UBC engages institutionally. - Make all feedback visible - Clear lines between feedback and action	
Part 2: Measurement brainstorm: - Explicit information => Sharing of tensions - Increased understanding of how information will be used and synthesis for final outcome and product	
Draft Principle 7: Allow marginalized people to 'see themselves' throughout the process, reflection and outcome.	Researcher's comment: Historically, persistently and systematically marginalised people should be able to feed information into the process and see this throughout the process.
Part 1: EIO Staff Feedback/Thoughts - Technology - While ensuring that onus of responsibility and burden not placed on there	
Part 2: Measurement brainstorm: - Feedback/input/activities/strategies that are very specific to them	

Overall comments:

- Struggle is what are they trying to achieve with the principles is MEANINGFUL consultation. Hard to measure because it should be something the communities determine. Therefore, there should be a focus on seeing more trust and better relationships with these communities rather than metrics for individual principles.

- Can we measure principles? Or do we define goals that we want to achieve for each principle?
- Easier to measure practices than concepts or ideas?
- Assumptions that there are baselines to measure against
- Suggestion: To think about the framing of principle in a grid

Principle	Goals	Measures
Listen and affirm. Reflect and iterate. Unlearn and learn. Take responsibility.		
Commit to and align engagement process with EDI as a high-level university/institutional priority.		
Reach out to people/communities on how and whether they would like to be engaged.		
Within the constraints that exist within the university, the explicit recognition of inequity is followed up with a robust commitment to search for opportunities to change		
Consider the extent to which the engagement process elevates the voices of, and makes decisions on behalf of, historically, persistently, and systematically marginalized populations.		
Within the constraints of this process, be transparent in intent, process, reflection and outcome for the engagement.		
Allow marginalized people to 'see themselves' throughout the process, reflection and outcome.		

Appendix 7: List of municipalities and planning firms reviewed

1. Alberta Urban Municipalities Association *Measuring Inclusion Tool*
https://auma.ca/sites/default/files/Advocacy/Programs_Initiatives/WIC/measuring_inclusion_tool_-_paper_user_0.pdf
2. City for All Women Initiative *Advancing Equity and Inclusion: A guide for municipalities*
http://www.cawi-ivtf.org/sites/default/files/publications/advancing-equity-inclusion-web_0.pdf
3. Federation of Canadian Municipalities
https://cdhalton.ca/wp-content/uploads/2011/07/Clutterbuck_Novick_Paper_Inclusive_Communities.pdf
4. Human Impact Partners Guide - www.healthequityguide.org
5. PlanH *Active Communities Action Guide*
https://planh.ca/sites/default/files/tools-resources/2018_09_17_activecommunities_ag_v01_2018_web.pdf
6. Northampton, UK - Consultation Tool Kit
<https://www.northampton.gov.uk/downloads/file/7327/consultation-toolkit>
7. Peterborough's Social Planning Council *Engaging Marginalized Communities for Better Health Outcomes*
http://www.pspc.on.ca/sites/default/files/attach/consultation_report_october.pdf
8. Social Planning of Sudbury, Ontario
<http://spscsudbury.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Engaging-Marginalized-Families2011SPC.pdf>
9. SPARC BC's Community Engagement Tool - <https://www.sparc.bc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/community-engagement-toolkit.pdf>
10. UBC Campus Community Planning
https://planning.ubc.ca/sites/planning.ubc.ca/files/images/UBC_CCP_EngagementCharter%20April%202016.pdf

Appendix 8: List of health authorities

1. BC Centre for Disease Control *Fact Sheet: Supporting Health Equity through the Built Environment*
http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/EH/BCCDC_equity-fact-sheet_web.pdf
2. Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
<https://s22457.pcdn.co/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Environmental-Scan-Best-Practices-in-Reaching-Underserved-EN.pdf>
https://www.partnershipagaincancer.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/SlideDeck_Deliberative-Engagement_NHEN-Presentation_August2018.pdf
3. Fraser Health *Patient Engagement Heard and Valued*
https://www.cfhi-fcass.ca/sf-docs/default-source/patient-engagement/awesome_handbook-fraserhealth.pdf
4. First Nations Health Authority
<http://www.fnha.ca/documents/fnha-policy-statement-cultural-safety-and-humility.pdf>
5. Maori Health -
<http://www.nursingcouncil.org.nz/index.php/content/download/721/2871/file/Guidelines%20for%20cultural%20safety,%20the%20Treaty%20of%20Waitangi,%20and%20Maori%20health%20in%20nursing%20education%20and%20practice.pdf>
http://www.pspc.on.ca/sites/default/files/attach/consultation_report_october.pdf

Appendix 9: Photos from IKB Pop Up Event, March 22, 2019


